

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not include a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 9, paragraphs 1 to 4a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 5, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Product name: Copenhagen Infrastructure IV AUS Trust

Legal entity identifier: 69 553 734 592

Sustainable investment objective

| Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective? | |
|--|--|
| <div><div><div></div><div></div></div><div><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</div></div> | <div><div><div></div><div></div></div><div><input type="checkbox"/> No</div></div> |
| <div><div><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It made sustainable investments with an environmental objective: 92%</div><div><div><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy</div><div><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy</div></div><div><input type="checkbox"/> It made sustainable investments with a social objective: ____%</div></div> | <div><div><input type="checkbox"/> It promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of ____% of sustainable investments</div><div><div><input type="checkbox"/> with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy</div><div><input type="checkbox"/> with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy</div><div><input type="checkbox"/> with a social objective</div></div><div><input type="checkbox"/> It promoted E/S characteristics, but did not make any sustainable investments</div></div> |

The following legal entities, Copenhagen Infrastructure IV AUS Trust, as well as associated alternative investment vehicles (each of which is an alternative investment fund) are part of a whole fund structure (collectively "CI IV" or the "Fund"), managed by Copenhagen Infrastructure Partners P/S, company number (CVR no.) 37994006 ("CIP" or the "Manager"). The allocation of investors' commitment to each entity is driven by tax, legal and regulatory reasons unrelated to the Fund's sustainability objectives. Furthermore, an investor's exposure to the underlying assets of the Fund is not affected by the allocation of its commitment to any one particular legal entity comprised by the Fund. For these reasons the Fund is for the purposes of this periodic disclosure deemed to be a single financial product.



To what extent was the sustainable investment objective of this financial product met?

The sustainable investment objective of the Fund is to invest in renewable energy infrastructure assets that contributed to one or more of the following environmental objectives:

- (1) climate change mitigation; or
- (2) increased global renewable energy capacity; or
- (3) increased global renewable energy generation; or
- (4) reduction in greenhouse gas emissions.

Final Investment Decision ("FID") was reached in relation to:

| Reference period | Total number of investments that have taken FID in the Fund (before or during the reference period) |
|------------------|---|
| 2023 | 7 |
| 2024 | 8 |

The investments in the Fund are further described in the Fund's annual report. If an investment has been divested it no longer appears in this overview from the year of the divestment.

Investment Strategy

CI IV invests in energy infrastructure, which may include offshore wind, onshore wind, solar PV, biomass and energy-from-waste, transmission and distribution, and other energy assets like reserve capacity and storage.

This investment strategy is established in the fund documentation governing CI IV. CI IV is not required to apply any additionally defined selection strategy to attain the environmental objective/s. This fund documentation is the "binding element" of the investment strategy. The investment strategy is implemented via a series of investment decision gateways, one of which is the final investment decision gateway. CIP will not present an investment to the CI IV decision-making body (which includes investor representatives) for final investment decision unless it falls within the abovementioned strategy.

Only investments which followed the procedures set out in this disclosure have been approved by the decision-making body, including in respect of do no significant harm and good governance practices.

CI IV's strategy for ensuring good governance practices in investee companies is ordinarily to establish or confirm the governance structure/system whilst developing the energy infrastructure asset, activity or business (as appropriate). Where relevant, CIP uses its "active owner" governance rights to secure the good governance practices of the investee companies in accordance with CIP's Responsible Investment Policy and ESG standards.

The investment strategy of CI IV is further described in the LPA.

● **How did the sustainability indicators perform?**

CI IV uses the following sustainability indicators to measure the attainment of the environmental objectives underpinning CI IV's sustainable investment objectives:

| Environmental indicators | 2023 ¹ | | 2024 | |
|---|-------------------|------------|----------------|------------|
| | Total projects | Fund share | Total projects | Fund share |
| Renewable energy capacity | | | | |
| Renewable energy capacity (MW) | 2,223 | 1,978 | 2,168 | 1,930 |
| Energy storage capacity (MW) ² | 500 | 500 | 1,500 | 1,500 |
| Renewable energy generation (MWh) | 485,164 | 485,164 | 697,501 | 471,479 |
| Estimated CO2e emissions avoided (tCO2e) | 178,443 | 178,443 | 300,270 | 152,790 |

The indicators are not subject to a limited assurance provided by an auditor or a review by a third party.

The investments in CI IV contribute to the Fund's sustainable environmental objective of supporting climate change mitigation by investing in renewable energy infrastructure projects that increase renewable energy capacity and generation and enable avoided emissions from these investments.

● **...and compared to previous periods?**

Please see table above.

The changes are mainly due to portfolio changes as the projects have progressed in their development stages. This includes, but is not limited to, one new investment reaching FID, and existing projects progressing to the construction phase as well as one project that has been divested.

In addition, the development in the sustainability indicators is also attributed to improvements in data collection and data quality.

● **How did the sustainable investments not cause significant harm to any sustainable investment objective?**

Several mechanisms are in place to ensure that the investments in the Fund's portfolio do not significantly harm any sustainable investment objective, including the environmental objectives that the Fund seeks to pursue. Investments made by CI IV are governed by CIPs Responsible Investment Policy which, among others, mandates responsible environmental impact management, protects key social objectives such as human and labour rights, and

¹ Throughout this report, data for 2023 has been recalculated due to improved methodology to ensure high quality data and allow for easier comparison of performance between years.

² The indicator is split to show the energy storage capacity added by Battery Energy Storage Systems (BESS) projects in the Fund

restricts CI IV from investing in controversial weapons that would ordinarily breach humanitarian principles. The Responsible Investment Policy is guided and informed by a number of international voluntary and regulatory frameworks, such as the UN Principles for Responsible Investments (UNPRI), OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGP), IFC Sustainability Framework and Industry Sector Guidelines, and others.

Adherence to the Responsible Investment Policy for CI IV is stated in the investment policy section of the Limited Partnership Agreement governing the investors commitment to the Fund (the "LPA"). CI IV is also specifically excluded from investing in nuclear or coal fired generation.

In addition to its investment policy scope, CI IV is governed by a set of environmental, social and governance Standards ("**ESG Standards**"). The ESG Standards, defined for the Fund, establish standards which are intended to ensure that the investments of CI IV do not significantly harm any sustainable investment objective, including the environmental objectives that CI IV seeks to pursue. The environmental section of the ESG Standards requires compliance with applicable host country laws and regulations, as well as relevant binding international conventions for the protection of the environment. The social section of the ESG Standards requires compliance with applicable host country laws and regulations as well as relevant binding conventions relating to social issues such as health, safety, security, labour rights, cultural heritage, stakeholder engagement, and human rights. Compliance with the core labour standards of the International Labour Organisation is expected.

In addition to the abovementioned documents, CIP utilises the following mechanisms and procedures to ensure that the investments made by CI IV do not significantly harm any of the environmental objectives as defined in the EU Taxonomy, including the environmental objective that this Fund seeks to pursue:

1. An assessment of potential material ESG risks is made for all investments prior to FID, including an assessment of all mandatory and two chosen voluntary principal adverse impacts indicators ("PAI") or any internal documents which reflect, operationalise or incorporate such indicators.
2. Excluding coal-fired and nuclear-fired power plants and choosing not to pursue investments that do not materially align with CI IV's defined ESG Standards
3. Due diligence conducted or arranged by CIP's investment team
4. Internal ESG-specific resources dedicated to supporting investments made by CI IV
5. Mitigation and/or management plans covering sustainability objectives at the investee company level
6. Incorporating contractual clauses covering minimum standards of conduct on investee companies in alignment with CIP's Responsible Investment Policy and CI IV ESG Standards
7. Prioritising sustainability-related topics at board meetings and/or steering committees of investee companies where CI IV is represented, and exercising voting rights in favour of sustainability-related topics
8. Monitoring of sustainability performance of investee companies through mandatory reporting
9. Responding to sustainability incidents through CI IV's position on the board and/or steering committee of the investee company if applicable

During the reference period, the investments that took FID in the Fund were subject to the mechanisms and procedures described above and was considered to be materially aligned with them. As such, it is assessed that no significant harm was caused to any sustainable investment objective, including the environmental objectives pursued by this financial product.

CI IV primarily makes greenfield renewable energy infrastructure investments. This means that investments normally have a relatively long development phase before FID and may have development expenses approved before FID of the investment. These expenses can be related, but not limited to, securing appropriate permits, environmental assessments, feasibility studies, technical designs, etc. In this early development phase of the investments prior to the FID of the investment, a high-level assessment of the investment case is performed against the investment strategy criteria in the LPA. This in short is also the result of the CIP operating model, which is to develop a seed portfolio of investment projects, of which each of these investment projects are evaluated against the sustainable investments objective of the Fund. In this operating model, Principal Adverse Impact indicators (PAIs) and DNSH criteria are only relevant to consider by FID. In the process leading up to the point when an investment takes FID the established decision gates and procedures ensure that PAIs and DNSH criteria are properly assessed, when possible, in for example the procurement phase of a project.

The result of this is that data coverage is affected since the Fund does not collect data and assess PAIs for investments that have yet to take FID. Practically, this is also sensible since there is often no or very little data to collect before the stage of an investment where it has reached FID.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

All mandatory and two optional PAIs are considered through the lens of material ESG risks, such as environmental, health and safety legislation and enforcement, human and labour rights risks, corruption risks, and are operationalised through several procedures and relevant documents described in the section above.

During the reference period, indicators for principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors were considered for the investments in the Fund's portfolio (which have reached FID) through:

- 1) Conducting an assessment of potential material ESG risks for all investments prior to FID. This includes pre-investment screening and due diligence processes, which are led by CIP's Investment Team and supported by CIP's ESG function, and where relevant by external advisors
- 2) Mitigation and/or management plans for relevant potential adverse impacts at investee company level
- 3) Monitoring of relevant potential adverse impacts of investee companies through reporting on either a monthly, bi-monthly, quarterly or yearly basis
- 4) Responding to incidents relating to relevant potential adverse impacts through CIP's position on the board and/or steering committee of the investee company if applicable

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

CIP's Responsible Investment Policy and the Fund's specific ESG Standards are intended to be aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights, including the principles and rights set out in the eight fundamental conventions identified in the Declaration of the International Labour Organisation on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work and the International Bill of Human Rights set of guidelines (the "**Guidelines**").

During the reference period, there were no known indications of deviations of the investments in the Fund's portfolio from the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights.

As such, the investments in the Fund's portfolio are considered aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights.



How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Fund monitors and reports on all mandatory PAIs. Given the Fund's investment strategy, additional indicators selected are focused on energy consumption as well as workplace safety. CIP takes a number of actions in relation to PAIs, such as setting ESG standards, excluding certain asset classes, covering ESG as part of due diligence processes, having internal ESG support in place, and monitoring sustainability performance of investee companies.

PAIs are considered through the lens of material ESG risks, such as environmental, health and safety legislation and enforcement, human and labour rights risks, corruption risks, and are operationalised through several procedures and relevant documents described in the section above.

In the Fund's SFDR periodic report, CIP emphasize the importance of robust data collection and quality assurance in the Fund's investments. Data is collected directly from the projects, ensuring a high level of accuracy and reliability. While CIP strives to ensure the highest quality of data through rigorous processes, it is important to acknowledge that absolute data quality cannot be guaranteed. Variations in data collection methods, reporting standards, and the inherent complexities of ESG metrics may impact the overall quality. In cases where data is not available, the Fund use estimations based on industry standards, internal models and best efforts to fill the gaps. CIP and the Fund is committed to continuous improvement and regularly review data collection and validation processes to enhance accuracy and reliability.

Investments in the fund were subject to the mechanisms and procedures described above.

| # | Greenhouse gas emissions | 2023 ³ | Data coverage | 2024 | Data coverage |
|---|--|-------------------|---------------|---------|---------------|
| 1 | Scope 1 GHG Emissions (tCO ₂ e) | 0.52 | 37% | 2,181 | 53% |
| | Scope 2 GHG Emissions (tCO ₂ e) | 1,373 | 32% | 1,045 | 53% |
| | Scope 3 GHG Emissions (tCO ₂ e) | 257,090 | 37% | 650,520 | 53% |
| | Total GHG emissions (tCO ₂ e) | 258,464 | 37% | 653,745 | 53% |
| 2 | Carbon footprint (tCO ₂ e / m€ invested) | 114 | 37% | 322 | 53% |
| 3 | GHG intensity of investee companies ⁴ (tCO ₂ e / m€ of revenue) | 656 | 6% | 64,566 | 34% |
| 4 | Exposure to companies active in the fossil fuel sector (Share of investments) | 0 | 37% | 0 | 53% |
| 5 | Share of non-renewable energy – Consumption (%) | 77 | 25% | 100 | 52% |
| | Share of non-renewable energy – Production (%) | 0 | 6% | 26 | 34% |
| 6 | Energy consumption intensity per high impact sector ³ (GWh per million EUR of revenue) | | 6% | | 34% |
| | Agriculture, forestry and fishing | 0 | | 0 | |
| | Mining and quarrying | 0 | | 0 | |
| | Manufacturing | 0 | | 0 | |
| | Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply | 0.2 | | 0.2 | |

³Data for 2023 has been recalculated due to improved methodology to ensure high quality data and allow for easier comparison of performance between years.

⁴ Due to the nature of the projects the Fund invests in, the investments do not generate revenue of any significance until the Commercial Operation Date (COD). This may be a few years after the investments' time of FID.

| | | |
|--|---|----|
| Water supply; sewerage, waste management and remediation activities | 0 | 0 |
| Construction | 0 | 18 |
| Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicles and motorcycles | 0 | 0 |
| Transportation and storage | 0 | 0 |
| Real estate activities | 0 | 0 |

| # | Energy consumption | 2023 | Data coverage | 2024 | Data coverage |
|---|---|------|---------------|------|---------------|
| 5 | Breakdown of energy consumption by type of nonrenewable sources of energy (GWh) | | | | |
| | Electricity from grid (%) | 18 | 33% | 24 | 52% |
| | Diesel (%) | 49 | 25% | 55 | 52% |
| | MGO (%) | 0 | 25% | 15 | 52% |
| | Propane (%) | 0 | 25% | 0 | 52% |
| | Natural gas (%) | 0 | 25% | 0 | 52% |

Actions taken, actions planned and targets set for the next reference period: Greenhouse Gas Emissions

General Approach

CIPs methodology for evaluating and managing climate-related risks is guided by the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD), now integrated into the ISSB's standards. Prior to the FID, CIP's diligent investment team is responsible for conducting comprehensive pre-investment due diligence. This team will either rely on or arrange for targeted due diligence on pertinent ESG topics related to a potential investment. This due diligence will include a thorough assessment of risks associated with:

- Significant environmental impacts
- Rigorous environmental compliance and permitting

In addition, the Fund has no investments in companies which are active in the fossil fuel sector. During the next reference periods the Fund will continue to monitor this indicator to seek continued alignment to CIP's Responsible Investment Policy and the Fund's ESG Standards.

Actions Taken

Throughout the year, the Fund has worked on establishing procedures for collecting relevant data to calculate these indicators more accurately. Where possible the Fund incorporated reporting requirements into contracts with suppliers and contractors to ensure higher data quality. Additionally, the Fund has refined and aligned the methodology behind these calculations with the prevailing regulations and methodology. Moreover, the Fund has assessed supplier emissions and integrated these findings into the overall ESG requirements.

Actions Planned

For the upcoming reference periods, the Fund will continue to enhance the data and reporting framework to ensure improved data collection and indicator quality. Additionally, there will be an increased focus on emissions in the investment supply chain to ensure that figures are developed in accordance with the investment stage, thereby striving to ensure that new investments emit less compared to older ones.

| # | Biodiversity - Activities negatively affecting biodiversity-sensitive areas | 2023 | Data coverage | 2024 | Data coverage |
|---|--|------|---------------|------|---------------|
| 7 | Share of investments in investee companies with sites/operations located in or near to biodiversity-sensitive areas where activities of those investee companies negatively affect those areas | 0.0 | 18% | 0.2 | 53% |

Actions taken, actions planned and targets set for the next reference period: Biodiversity

General Approach

During the reference period, the Fund adhered to CIP's Biodiversity Action Plan, which aims to minimize potential impacts relevant to this indicator. This is achieved by identifying risks and conducting an Environmental Impact Assessment for each investment made in the fund. As part of this process, all biodiversity risks are mitigated to strive for biodiversity neutrality.

Actions Taken

The metrics show that one of the investments in the Fund, Project Teruel, reported that the underlying renewable energy infrastructure project had negative impacts on a biodiversity sensitive area. This is only possible to have been approved, because the project prior to FID had been engaged to ensure that the project had also created a rigorous mitigation plan for how to restore the negatively affected areas when construction of the project begins. However, since the project is still in the early construction phase post-FID, the mitigation plan is yet to be fully implemented.

To seek ensure that negative impacts to biodiversity sensitive areas have been assessed and mitigated, the Fund's projects obtained special licenses, and conducted several environmental studies, including but not limited to bird studies and monitoring. Additionally, initiatives such as blade painting, installation of bird diverters and fence lifting were implemented where relevant to the specific technology of the investment.

Actions Planned

During the next reference periods the Fund will continue to monitor the indicator to seek continued alignment with CIPs Biodiversity Action Plan, Responsible Investment Policy and the Fund's ESG Standards to ensure any future negative impacts will be mitigated.

| # | Water – Emissions to water | 2023 | Data coverage | 2024 | Data coverage |
|---|--|------|---------------|------|---------------|
| 8 | Tonnes of emission to water generated by investee companies per million EUR invested (weighted average) | 0 | 15% | 0 | 53% |
| # | Waste – Hazardous waste and radioactive waste ratio | | | | |
| 9 | Tonnes of hazardous waste and radioactive waste generated by investee companies per million EUR invested (weighted average) | 0.04 | 19% | 0 | 41% |

Actions taken, actions planned and targets set for the next reference period: Water and Waste

General Approach

Prior to the Final Investment Decision (FID), CIP's investment team is responsible for conducting comprehensive pre-investment due diligence. This team will either rely on or arrange for targeted due diligence on pertinent ESG topics related to a potential investment. This due diligence will include a thorough assessment of risks associated with the investment, including but not limited to:

- Environmental impacts
- Environmental compliance and permitting

As part of CIP's Responsible Investment Policy, efforts are made to minimize, in accordance with good industry practice, the environmental consequences related to the construction and operations phases of underlying assets, particularly regarding the use of hazardous materials to reduce the amount of hazardous waste.

Actions Taken

During the year CIP has established procedures for gathering relevant data in order to calculate these indicators. CIP worked closely with projects in the Funds to increase the coverage of the data.

Actions Planned

During the next reference periods the Fund will further monitor and work to maintain the indicator within each investment in the Fund.

| # | Social and employee matters | 2023 | Data coverage | 2024 | Data coverage |
|----|---|------|---------------|------|---------------|
| 10 | Violations of UN Global Compact principles and Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises <i>(share of investments)</i> | 0 | 37% | 0 | 46% |
| 11 | Lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises <i>(share of investments without policies to monitor)</i> | 0.5 | 25% | 0 | 53% |
| 12 | Unadjusted gender pay gap <i>(average)</i> | 0 | 6% | 45 | 8% |
| 13 | Board gender diversity ⁵ <i>(average ratio of male to total board members)</i> | 96 | 25% | 96 | 53% |
| 14 | Exposure to controversial weapons | 0 | 18% | 0 | 53% |

| # | Employee matters | 2023 | Data coverage | 2024 | Data coverage |
|---|--|------|---------------|------|---------------|
| 2 | Rate of recordable work-related accidents ⁶ | n/a | 0% | 0 | 53% |

Actions taken, actions planned and targets set for the next reference period: Social and Employee Matters

General Approach

CIP is a signatory to the UN Principles for Responsible Investment and is committed to integrating ESG factors throughout each stage of its standard investment process, including investment selection, due diligence and structuring, and investment management during construction and operations. CIP's Responsible Investment Policy is guided by various international standards and norms, including:

- UN Principles for Responsible Investments (UN PRI)
- The Ten Principles of the UN Global Compact (UNGC), including the elimination of discrimination in respect of employment and occupation
- UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPR)
- OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises
- The Equator Principles
- IFC Sustainability Framework and Industry Sector Guidelines

⁵ CIP has revised the methodology for calculating PAI 13 data concerning board gender diversity. Previously, the number of women relative to the total board was calculated. This has now been updated to apply a new formula as defined in the [JC 2023 55 - Final Report SFDR Delegated Regulation amending RTS](#).

⁶ Due to data quality, this indicator has been changed compared to the previous reference periods.

- Good industry practice in the management of HSE issues

Health and safety (H&S) have always been fundamental to CIP's operations. While H&S risks inherent to building and operating large-scale energy projects can never be entirely eliminated, CIP adopts a proactive approach to identify risks and prevent incidents. Prior to the Final Investment Decision (FID), CIP's investment team is responsible for conducting comprehensive pre-investment due diligence. This team will either rely on or arrange for targeted due diligence on pertinent ESG topics related to a potential investment. This due diligence will include a thorough assessment of risks associated with:

- Health, safety, and environmental (HSE) standards of the project and suppliers
- Labour standards of the project and suppliers
- Human rights

Furthermore, the Fund expects and requires projects to impose clear H&S requirements on suppliers during procurement, as outlined in CIPs Code of Conduct for Business Partners. Additionally, CIP's Responsible Investment Policy ensures that investments are not made in the manufacture of weapons that breach fundamental humanitarian principles, such as nuclear, biological, or chemical weapons, cluster bombs, or anti-personnel landmines, nor in the development, production, or storage of nuclear weapons, or in the production of components explicitly for use in nuclear weapons.

Actions Taken

Throughout the year, CIP has established procedures for gathering relevant data to calculate these indicators. Additionally, CIP strives to ensure that all aforementioned international standards and norms are incorporated into material contracts through contractual agreements. Prior to the FID, CIP investment teams are required to complete all relevant ESG checklists to ensure compliance with the Fund's ESG standards and CIP policies. Furthermore, there has been a strong emphasis on project teams and the CIP ESG team working together to ensure that any identified gaps are addressed and mitigated. During the reference period, any project identified to not have a proper grievance mechanism has been engaged with the goal to rectify this. The improvement in the metric shows the results of the engagement with projects on this specific topic.

CIP has also strengthened the Fund's governance arrangements by enhancing the processes for assessing risk, implementing preventive measures, and responding to and learning from ESG-related incidents. Systematic follow-ups on progress have been implemented on a monthly basis, ensuring an overview of H&S as well as ongoing development.

Actions Planned

During the upcoming reference periods, CIP will continue to monitor the indicators to ensure ongoing alignment. Additionally, the Fund will enhance the requirements for grievance mechanisms in effort to ensure that stakeholders are heard and incorporated into early decision-making processes. In relation to gender diversity, CIP has updated internal processes to ensure that project teams consider gender diversity when establishing project companies and creating the Board of Directors.



The list includes the investments constituting the **greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product during the reference period which is: 1 January 2024 - 31 December 2024

What were the top investments of this financial product?

In addition to the projects that have taken FID, the Fund has a number of investment opportunities under development. These are part of the Fund's GAV, and therefore they are also included in the overview below.

The table below represents the greatest proportion of investment allocation throughout the reference period measured quarterly by GAV at the end of first quarter, second quarter, third quarter and fourth quarter of the year respectively.

| Top 50% investments, 2024 | Sector | % Assets | Country |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------|----------|--------------------------|
| Vineyard Northeast | Renewable energy infrastructure | 22% | United States of America |
| Teruel | Renewable energy infrastructure | 18% | Spain |
| Buffalo Plains | Renewable energy infrastructure | 11% | Canada |

| Top 50% investments, 2023 ⁷ | Sector | % Assets | Country |
|--|---------------------------------|----------|-------------|
| Vineyard Northeast | Renewable energy infrastructure | 32% | UK |
| Korea New Sites | Renewable energy infrastructure | 9% | South Korea |
| Buffalo Plains | Renewable energy infrastructure | 9% | Canada |
| Fighting Jays | Renewable energy infrastructure | 8% | US |



What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

The proportion of sustainability-related investments was 92%.

What was the asset allocation?


The Fund has committed to make a minimum of 95% sustainable investments with an environmental objective.

Sustainable investments in the fund make up 92%. The Fund did not meet its commitment of 95% sustainable investments by year end 2024. This was mainly due to a larger amount of receivables from CI V resulting from the transfer of seed portfolio assets⁸. The remaining share of investments that are not sustainable investments are due to the Fund holding an amount of cash and financial instruments that can be used for cash management and/or hedging purposes.

⁷ Data for 2023 has been recalculated due to improved methodology to ensure high quality data and allow for easier comparison of performance between years.

⁸ The concept of seed portfolio transfers is well known to CIP investors.

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.



Asset allocation is based on GAV of the investments based on averages by end of first quarter, second quarter, third quarter and fourth quarter.

| The share of investments with an environmental objective that were | 2023 ⁹ | 2024 |
|--|-------------------|------|
| Sustainable investments | 88% | 92% |
| Not sustainable investments | 12% | 8% |

● In which economic sectors were the investments made?

During the reference period, the Fund's portfolio of investments, which have reached FID, consisted of seven investments.

| Sector Sub-Industry | 2023 ¹⁰ | 2024 |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|------|
| Utilities | | |
| Renewable energy infrastructure | 88% | 92% |

The Fund had no revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels.

⁹ Data for 2023 has been recalculated due to improved methodology to ensure high quality data and allow for easier comparison of performance between years.

¹⁰ Data for 2023 has been recalculated due to improved methodology to ensure high quality data and allow for easier comparison of performance between years.

To comply with the EU Taxonomy, the criteria for **fossil gas** include limitations on emissions and switching to fully renewable power or low-carbon fuels by the end of 2035. For **nuclear energy**, the criteria include comprehensive safety and waste management rules.

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective

Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.



To what extent were sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

During this reference period, 45% of the Fund's investment were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. The fund had no commitment to make taxonomy-aligned investments.

| | Climate change mitigation | Climate change adaptation | The sustainable use and protection of water and marine resources | The transition to a circular economy | Pollution prevention and control | The protection and restoration of biodiversity and ecosystems |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| Alignment | 45% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Compliance of the taxonomy aligned investments with the requirements laid down in Article 3 of Regulation (EU) 2020/852 was subject to review of an Agreed-Upon procedure by Deloitte. | | | | | | |

Agreed Upon Procedure Methodology for EU Taxonomy:

For each investment made by a fund, the overall steps to determine whether that investment is aligned with the Regulation are as follows:

- Determine which investments made by the fund at end of 2024 are potentially within the scope of this exercise. This is done through:
 - Determine the investments which have taken FID and are in the fund's portfolio at end of 2024
 - Determine which of the investments listed at (i) are in economic activities listed in the EU Taxonomy and are not otherwise excluded from scope for a specific reason
- For the investments which satisfy limbs (1)(i) – (ii) (i.e. are within the scope of this exercise), perform an EU Taxonomy-alignment test for each underlying economic activity for that investment. This is done through:
 - Determine which of the six environmental objectives under the EU Taxonomy is applicable to the economic activity relevant to the investment
 - Assess if that economic activity meets the 'substantial contribution' criteria (limb (i))
 - Assess if that economic activity meets the 'do no significant harm' criteria (limb (ii))
 - Assess if that economic activity meets the 'minimum safeguards' criteria (limb (iii))

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy¹¹?

☐

Yes:

☐

In fossil gas



☐

In nuclear energy

¹¹ Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

 No


The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.



This graph represents 100% of the total investments.

* For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures.

Taxonomy-aligned

 are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under the EU Taxonomy.

investments made by investee companies, e.g. for a transition to a green economy.

- **operational expenditure** (OpEx) reflecting green operational activities of investee companies.

● **What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?**

| | 2023 | 2024 |
|--------------------------------|------|------|
| Transitional activities | 0% | 0% |
| Enabling activities | 0% | 0% |

● **How did the percentage of investments aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?**

Throughout the reference period the Fund conducted an extensive EU Taxonomy exercise. It is thus deemed that the percentage figure which may need to be reported under the SFDR for Taxonomy-alignment according to the categories of “turnover, capital expenditure and operational expenditure” will be the same figure for each of the three categories.

| Taxonomy-aligned | 2023 ¹² | 2024 |
|------------------|--------------------|------|
| Turnover | 30% | 45% |
| CapEx | 30% | 45% |
| OpEx | 30% | 45% |



What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective that were not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The fund had no commitment to make taxonomy-aligned investments.

| The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective that were | 2023 ¹³ | 2024 |
|--|--------------------|------|
| aligned with the EU Taxonomy | 30% | 45% |
| not aligned with the EU Taxonomy | 58% | 47% |



What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

n/a

¹² Data for 2023 has been recalculated due to improved methodology to ensure high quality data and allow for easier comparison of performance between years.

¹³ Data for 2023 has been recalculated due to improved methodology to ensure high quality data and allow for easier comparison of performance between years.



What investments were included under “not sustainable”, what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

8% were classified as not sustainable due to the Fund holding an amount of cash and financial instruments that can be used for cash management and/or hedging purposes. As the share of investments that were “not sustainable” relates to cash or financial instruments there were no minimum environmental and social safeguards.



What actions have been taken to attain the sustainable investment objective during the reference period?

The investments (which have reached FID) held by the Fund during the reference period was subject to the mechanisms and procedures described in the previous sections (i.e Responsible Investment Policy, the Fund’s ESG Standards, the Fund’s investment policy, assessment and monitoring of relevant PAIs of investee companies) and was considered to be materially aligned with them. In addition, to ensure good governance practices in investee companies, CIP uses its “active owner” governance rights to secure good governance practices of the investee companies in accordance with CIP’s Responsible Investment Policy and the Fund’s ESG Standards.

Specifically on contribution to the sustainable investment objectives the concrete actions taken during the reference period were that two of the investments that have taken FID reached the construction phase and is on track to deliver the finished project on time, which contributed to the attainment of the sustainable investment objectives.



How did this financial product perform compared to the reference sustainable benchmark?

No reference benchmark has been designated for the purpose of attaining the sustainable investment objective.

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the sustainable objective.

- ***How did the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?***
n/a
- ***How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the sustainable investment objective?***
n/a
- ***How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?***
n/a
- ***How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?***
n/a